



Research report

Emotional learning enhances stimulus-specific top-down modulation of sensorimotor gating in socially reared rats but not isolation-reared rats

Yi Du, Xihong Wu, Liang Li*

Department of Psychology, Speech and Hearing Research Center, Key Laboratory on Machine Perception (Ministry of Education), Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 June 2009

Received in revised form 3 September 2009

Accepted 7 September 2009

Available online 15 September 2009

Keywords:

Fear conditioning

Isolation rearing

Perceptual fusion

Perceived spatial separation

Prepulse inhibition

Schizophrenia

Selective attention

ABSTRACT

Prepulse inhibition (PPI), the suppression of the startle reflex by a preceding sensory stimulus (prepulse), can be top-down modulated in both humans and rats. This study investigated whether emotional-learning-induced enhancement of PPI in rats is prepulse specific. The results show that in socially reared rats, PPI elicited by a narrowband-noise prepulse on the broadband-noise background (masker) was enhanced after the prepulse became fear conditioned. This fear-conditioning-modulated PPI was further enhanced by introducing a perceived spatial separation between the conditioned prepulse and the broadband-noise masker. However, these PPI enhancements disappeared if the conditioned prepulse was replaced by a different narrowband-noise prepulse that was not fear conditioned. In isolation-reared rats, who had both enhanced baseline startle and reduced PPI before conditioning, neither fear conditioning of the prepulse nor perceived spatial separation between the conditioned prepulse and noise masker could enhance PPI. Thus, the emotional-learning-induced enhancement of PPI in socially reared rats is prepulse specific, indicating that auditory processing interacts with mnemonic signaling in the formation of top-down modulation of PPI. Since the deficiency of attentional modulation of PPI in schizophrenic patients is correlated with the symptom severity, the deficiency of top-down modulations of PPI in isolation-reared rats is useful for modeling schizophrenia.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Learning is important for both humans and animals to acquire the ability and knowledge to discriminate biologically meaningful sensory stimuli from irrelevant stimuli. For example, associative learning builds association between a sensory cue (the conditioned stimulus, CS) and a biologically significant event (the unconditioned stimulus, US), leading to both selective attention to the occurrence of the CS and enhanced sensitivity to the sensory cue [57]. According to the “protection-of-processing” theory, receiving a sensory stimulus can trigger not only the information processing for the stimulus signal but also a gating mechanism that dampens the information of disruptive inputs [28]. To further our understanding about functions of learning, it is important to know whether sensory gating is also modulated by learning.

Prepulse inhibition (PPI) is the reduction of the amplitude of the startle reflex in response to an intense startling stimulus (pulse) when this intense stimulus is shortly preceded by a weaker, non-startling sensory stimulus (prepulse) ([11,63], for reviews, see [37,40,51,54]). Since the consequences of PPI include the reduction of behavioral responses to disruptive stimuli by regulating the

motor system and/or the pre-motor system, PPI has been generally recognized as a simple operational measure of sensorimotor gating (e.g., [73]). The magnitude of PPI has also been widely used as a measure of the salience of the prepulse stimulus in rodents (e.g., [2,12,38,41,48,77,85,86]).

Although the pathway mediating PPI resides in the brainstem, PPI can be modulated by higher-order central processing (for a recent review see [54]). For example, in humans, greater PPI is produced by an attended prepulse than an ignored prepulse (e.g., [16,22,23,32,33,69,75]) and PPI is more pronounced when the prepulse is emotionally salient than neutral stimulus (e.g., [5,6]). Interestingly, even anticipation of electrical shock can increase general vigilance, enhance processing of the prepulse stimulus, and augment PPI [30]. While in rats, following the prepulse becomes fear conditioned [20,38,56,86] or fear-extinction conditioned [68], PPI is markedly enhanced, indicating that emotional learning (fear conditioning) indeed top-down modulates sensory gating. Furthermore, one of our recent studies [20] has confirmed that the emotional-learning-induced modulation of PPI is due to a formation of selective attention to the conditioned prepulse (see below).

In a noisy, reverberant environment, listeners receive not only sound waves that directly emanate from various sources but also reflections from surfaces at various locations. When the time interval between the direct wave coming from the source and a reflected

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 10 6278 5419; fax: +86 10 6276 1081.

E-mail address: liangli@pku.edu.cn (L. Li).




Fig. 1. Diagrams showing the physical (panel A) and perceived (panels B and C) spatial relationship between the prepulse (represented by the music note) and the broadband-noise masker (represented by the noise waveform). (Panel A) Both the prepulse and the masker were delivered by each of the two horizontal loudspeakers. The startling stimulus (a 10-ms broadband-noise burst, 100 dB) was delivered by a third loudspeaker above the rat's head. (Panel B) When the onset of the prepulse delivered from the left loudspeaker lagged behind that from the right loudspeaker by 1 ms and the onset of the masker delivered from the left loudspeaker led that from the right loudspeaker by 1 ms, the image of the prepulse was on the right and the image of the masker was on the left, causing a perceived spatial separation between the prepulse and masker. (Panel C) When the onset of the prepulse delivered from the left loudspeaker led that from the right loudspeaker by 1 ms and the onset of the masker delivered from the left loudspeaker led that from the right loudspeaker by 1 ms, both the image of the prepulse and the image of the masker were on the left, causing a perceived co-location between the prepulse and masker.

after the offset of the prepulse. In each testing session, 20 trials were assigned to the condition of perceived spatial separation (10 trials for one type of prepulse and 10 trials for the other type of prepulse), 20 trials were assigned to the condition of perceived co-location (10 trials for one type of prepulse and 10 trials for the other type of prepulse), and 10 trials were assigned to the no-prepulse (startling stimulus only) condition.

On the fifth day, all the four subgroups of rats underwent both the manipulation of fear conditioning and the manipulation of conditioning control (so called combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations). The CS was the prepulse delivered by each of the two horizontal loudspeakers with balanced left–right leading. Based on previous studies [38,72,80,86], the US was 6-mA rectangular-pulse (duration = 3 ms) footshock using Grass S-88 stimulator (Grass, Quincy, MA, USA). The short duration of footshock applied in this and our previous studies [20,38,56,86] removed any potential effects of escaping movement, which might occur if the duration of footshock was long (e.g., 500 ms).

For each of the two high-frequency-conditioning subgroups (social rearing, isolation rearing), 10 temporally synchronized (paired) combination of the high-




Fig. 2. Illustration showing the 8-day testing procedure.

frequency CS (5-kHz narrow-band noise) and the US were presented every 30 s in the fear-conditioning session (US started 3 ms before CS ending, and co-terminated with CS), and 10 temporally random (unpaired) combination of the low-frequency CS (1-kHz narrow-band noise) and the US were presented every 30 s in the conditioning-control session (Fig. 3). For the two low-frequency-conditioning subgroups, 10 paired combination of the low-frequency CS (1-kHz narrow-band noise) and the US were presented every 30 s in the fear-conditioning session (US also started 3 ms before CS ending, and co-terminated with CS), and 10 unpaired combination of the high-frequency CS (5-kHz narrow-band noise) and the US were presented every 30 s in the conditioning-control session.

On the sixth day (24 h after the manipulations of fear conditioning and conditioning control), PPI was measured using the same six-session procedures as used on the fourth day.

On the seventh day, all subgroups underwent the manipulation of auditory fear extinction. Without pairing the US, the CS (5-kHz narrow-band noise for the high-frequency conditioning subgroups and 1-kHz narrow-band noise for the low-frequency conditioning subgroups) was presented 60 times and the CS control (1-kHz narrow-band noise for the high-frequency conditioning subgroups and 5-kHz narrow-band noise for the low-frequency conditioning subgroups) was presented 20 times with the inter-stimulus interval of 30 s. For each rat, the total 80 prepulse presentations (60 for CS and 20 for CS control) were evenly divided into 4 extinction sessions with the inter-session interval of 10 min.

On the eighth day (24 h after the extinction manipulation), PPI was measured using the same six-session procedures as used on the fourth and sixth days.




Fig. 3. Illustrations showing the fear-conditioning manipulation (paired presentations of the narrowband noise and footshock) and the conditioning-control manipulation (unpaired presentations of the narrowband noise and footshock).




Fig. 4. (Panel A) The group-mean magnitude of the baseline startle reflex (when the prepulse was not presented) for socially reared rats and isolation-reared rats before the conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations. (Panel B) The group-mean magnitude of prepulse inhibition (PPI) for each of the three prepulses (low-frequency, middle-frequency, and high-frequency narrowband noises) for socially reared rats and isolation-reared rats before the manipulations. Error bars represent the standard errors of the mean. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ by one-way ANOVA.

2.4. Data analyses

The amount of PPI was calculated with the following generally used formula:

$$\text{PPI (\%)} = \frac{\text{amplitude to startling sound alone} - \text{amplitude to startling sound preceded by prepulse}}{\text{amplitude to startling sound alone}} \times 100\%$$

Analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were performed and followed by Bonferroni post hoc tests by using SPSS 13.0 software (for details see Section 3). The null-hypothesis rejection level was set at 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of social isolation on startle reflex and PPI before manipulations

Panel A in Fig. 4 shows the group-mean amplitude of the startle reflex for all the socially reared rats and that for all the isolation-reared rats before manipulations. An one-way ANOVA confirms that before the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations, the startle amplitude was significantly larger in isolation-reared rats than in socially reared rats [$F(1, 106) = 9.403$, $P < 0.01$].

Panel B in Fig. 4 shows the group-mean PPI associated with each of the three types of prepulses for all the socially reared rats and all the isolation-reared rats before the manipulations. As one-way ANOVAs confirm that, for each of the prepulse types, isolation-reared rats had significantly lower group-mean PPI magnitudes than socially reared rats before the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations [low-frequency prepulse: $F(1, 34) = 5.113$, $P < 0.05$; high-frequency prepulse: $F(1, 34) = 14.150$, $P = 0.001$; middle-frequency prepulse: $F(1, 34) = 5.548$, $P < 0.05$]. These results are consistent with previous reports that isolation rearing both enhances the baseline startle reflex and reduces PPI in rats.

3.2. Modulation of the startle reflex

Fig. 5 shows the amplitudes of startle responses to the startling stimulus alone typically for each of the four subgroups before and after the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations and after the conditioning-extinction manipulation. Generally, the baseline startle was enhanced by the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations, and then reduced by the conditioning-extinction manipulation.

Separate 3 (testing-session type (i.e., prepulse-combination type): low/high, low/middle, middle/high) \times 3 (testing time: before conditioning/conditioning-control, after conditioning/conditioning-control, after extinction) within-subject repeated-measures ANOVAs for the four subgroups show similar results: the interaction between testing-session type and testing time was not significant ($P > 0.05$ for all), the main effect of testing-session type was not significant ($P > 0.05$ for all), but the main effect of testing time was significant [for low-frequency-conditioning socially reared subgroup: $F(2, 16) = 7.971$, $P < 0.01$; for high-frequency-conditioning socially reared subgroup: $F(2, 16) = 7.897$, $P < 0.01$; for low-frequency-conditioning isolation-reared subgroup: $F(2, 16) = 5.227$, $P < 0.05$; for high-frequency-conditioning isolation-reared subgroup: $F(2, 16) = 4.896$, $P < 0.05$]. Thus, the startle responses to the startling stimulus alone were significantly affected by the manipulations but not the testing-session type (the type of prepulse combination in a testing session).

3.3. Modulation of PPI in socially reared rats

Fig. 6 shows the values of PPI induced by each of the three types of prepulse stimuli in the two socially reared subgroups with either low-frequency-noise conditioning (left panels) or high-frequency-noise conditioning (right panels), when the prepulse and masker were either perceptually co-located (filled bars) or

perceptually separated (diagonal bars). For each of the two subgroups under each of the three testing stages, because the PPI values for each prepulse type under the two different prepulse-combination conditions were similar, they were averaged across the two prepulse-combination conditions. As shown in Fig. 6, only PPI elicited by the conditioned prepulse exhibited both the post-conditioning enhancement and the perceived-separation enhancement following the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations. Also, these two types of PPI enhancements disappeared following the extinction manipulation.

For the low-frequency-conditioning subgroup, a 3 (testing time: before conditioning/conditioning-control, after conditioning/conditioning-control, after extinction) \times 2 (perceptual location: co-location, separation) repeated-measures ANOVA for low-frequency-prepulse-elicited PPI (Fig. 6, left top panel) indicates that the interaction between testing time and perceptual location was significant [$F(2, 34) = 19.334$, $P < 0.001$]. Further one-way ANOVAs and pairwise comparisons show that both PPI elicited by the low-frequency prepulse perceptually co-located with the masker and PPI elicited by the low-frequency prepulse perceptually separated from the masker were significantly enhanced following the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations ($P < 0.001$ for all) and decreased to the pre-conditioning level after the extinction manipulation ($P < 0.001$ for all). Moreover, low-frequency-prepulse-elicited PPI under the condition of perceived separation (with the masker) was significantly larger than that under the condition of perceived co-location only when




Fig. 5. Amplitudes of startle responses to the startling stimulus alone in each of the four subgroups before the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations (black bars), after the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations (diagonal bars), and after conditioning extinction (white bars) in each of the three types of testing sessions with different prepulse combinations. L–H: the testing sessions containing both low-frequency and high-frequency narrowband-noise prepulses; L–M: the testing sessions containing both low-frequency and middle-frequency narrowband-noise prepulses; M–H: the testing sessions containing both middle-frequency and high-frequency narrowband-noise prepulses. Error bars represent the standard errors of the mean.

the low-frequency prepulse was fear conditioned ($P < 0.001$). On the other hand, separate 3 (testing time) \times 2 (perceptual location) repeated-measures ANOVAs for high-frequency-prepulse-elicited PPI (Fig. 6, left middle panel) and middle-frequency-prepulse-elicited PPI (Fig. 6, left bottom panel) show that the interactions between testing time and perceptual location, the main effects of testing time, and the main effects of perceptual location were not significant ($P > 0.05$ for all).

For the high-frequency-conditioning subgroup, a 3 (testing time) \times 2 (perceptual location) repeated-measures ANOVA for high-frequency-prepulse-elicited PPI (Fig. 6, right middle panel) indicates that the interaction between testing time and perceptual location was significant [$F(2, 34) = 18.549, P < .001$]. Further one-way ANOVAs and pairwise comparisons show that both PPI elicited by the high-frequency prepulse perceptually co-located with the masker and PPI elicited by the high-frequency prepulse perceptually separated from the masker were significantly enhanced after the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations ($P < 0.001$ for all) and decreased to the pre-conditioning level after the extinction manipulation ($P < 0.001$ for all). Moreover, high-frequency-prepulse-elicited PPI under the condition of perceived separation (with the masker) was significantly larger than that under the condition of perceived co-location only when the high-frequency prepulse was fear conditioned ($P < 0.001$). On the other hand, separate 3 (testing time) \times 2 (perceptual location) repeated-measures ANOVAs for low-frequency-prepulse-elicited PPI (Fig. 6, right top panel) and middle-frequency-prepulse-induced PPI (Fig. 6, right bottom panel) show that the interactions between testing time and perceptual location, the main effects of testing time, and the main

effects of perceptual location were not significant ($P > 0.05$ for all).

Thus, for socially reared rats, the fear-conditioning manipulation, but not the conditioning-control manipulation, selectively strengthened PPI elicited by the conditioned narrowband-noise prepulse with a particular center frequency without influencing PPI elicited by another narrowband-noise prepulse with a different center frequency, which was not conditioned. In other words, PPI enhancement by auditory fear conditioning is sound-feature specific (center-frequency dependent in this study). Moreover, the effect of perceived spatial separation between prepulse and masker images (perceptual spatial unmasking) on PPI was not significant until the prepulse became biologically “relevant” (fear conditioned). Finally, the emotional-learning-induced enhancements of PPI were abolished by the extinction manipulation.

3.4. Modulation of PPI in isolation-reared rats

Fig. 7 shows the values of PPI induced by each of the three types of prepulse stimuli in the two isolation-reared subgroups with either low-frequency-noise conditioning (left panels) or high-frequency-noise conditioning (right panels), when the prepulse and masker were either perceptually co-located (filled bars) or perceptually separated (diagonal bars). For each of the two subgroups at each of the three testing stages, because PPI values for each prepulse type under the two different prepulse-combination conditions were similar, they were averaged across the two prepulse-combination conditions.

For either isolation-reared rats with low-frequency-noise conditioning (Fig. 7, left panels) or those with high-frequency-noise




Fig. 6. Values of prepulse inhibition (PPI) in the low-frequency-conditioning socially reared subgroups (left panels) and the high-frequency-conditioning socially reared subgroups (right panels) at the three testing stages: before the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations (BC), after the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations (AC), and after extinction (AE). When the prepulse was the low-frequency (1 kHz) narrowband noise (top panels), middle-frequency (3 kHz) narrowband noise (bottom panels), or high-frequency (5 kHz) narrowband noise (middle panels), it was either perceptually co-located with (filled bars) or perceptually separated from (diagonal bars) the broadband-noise masker. Error bars represent the standard errors of the mean. Note that in each of the two socially reared subgroups, only PPI elicited by the conditioned prepulse was significantly enhanced after the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations and further enhanced by the perceived spatial separation from the noise masker. While both conditioning-induced and separation-induced PPI enhancements disappeared after the extinction manipulation. ** $P < 0.01$ by one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni pairwise comparisons.

conditioning (Fig. 7, right panels), PPI elicited by each of the three prepulse stimuli did not differ significantly across the testing times under each of the two perceptual-location conditions (repeated-measures ANOVAs, $P > 0.05$ for all), and any significant PPI enhancements elicited by perceived spatial separation were not observed for each of the prepulse stimuli ($P > 0.05$ for all). These results suggest that both baseline PPI and emotional-learning-induced modulation of PPI were impaired in isolation-reared rats.

4. Discussion

The results of this study are consistent with our previous reports that in socially reared rats, fear conditioning of the prepulse stimulus enhances PPI [20,38,56,86] and the precedence-effect-induced perceptual separation between the conditioned prepulse and the

noise masker facilitates selective attention to the prepulse, leading to a further enhancement of PPI [20]. Also, isolation rearing impairs both the emotional-learning-induced enhancement of PPI [20,56] and the perceptual-separation-induced enhancement of PPI [20]. Although there was a general startle enhancement in both socially reared and isolation-reared rats following the combined conditioning/conditioning-control manipulations, showing the occurrence of fear potentiation of startle (e.g., [10,15]), this general enhancement of the baseline startle was not correlated with the emotional-learning-induced enhancement of PPI. First, in socially reared rats only PPI elicited by the conditioned prepulse, but not those without being conditioned, exhibited the learning-induced enhancement. In addition, in isolation-reared rats, the learning-induced enhancement of PPI was not present even though the enhancement of the baseline startle was. Thus, the neural net-




Fig. 7. Values of prepulse inhibition (PPI) in the low-frequency-conditioning isolation-reared subgroups (left panels) and the high-frequency-conditioning isolation-reared subgroups (right panels) at the three testing stages. See the legend of Fig. 6 for explanations of symbols and abbreviations. Note that neither fear conditioning nor perceptual spatial separation had effects on PPI in any subgroup of isolation-reared rats.

work for top-down modulation of PPI is very different from that for modulation of startle.

More importantly, using the within-subject experimental design, the present study for the first time demonstrates that the PPI enhancement that is induced by either emotional learning or perceived prepulse-masker spatial separation is prepulse specific: these two PPI enhancements occur only when the fear-conditioned stimulus is used as the prepulse. Thus, the emotional-learning-induced PPI enhancements are not due to general elevations in vigilance, emotion and/or attention during testing.

Previous studies have shown that in humans perceived spatial separation between the sound target and the masker facilitates listeners' selective attention to the target even when the signal-to-noise ratio (in sound level) is not substantially changed [25,52], and either perceptual processing of or selective attention to the prepulse enhances PPI [5,6,16,22,23,32,33,34,69,75]. The findings of the present study are also consistent to the reports of these human studies. Particularly, the finding that in socially reared rats introducing a difference in perceived location between the conditioned prepulse and the noise masker further enhanced PPI confirms that emotional learning builds a signal-processing link between sen-

sory processing of the CS, memory retrieval of ecological meanings of the CS, elicitation of selective attention to the CS, and stimulus-specific top-down modulation of sensorimotor gating associated with the processing of the CS. In other words, emotional-learning functions as the "processing organizer" for handling ecologically significant sensory inputs, including initiating selective attention to the occurrence of the CS and enhancing sensitivity to the CS [57].

In the present study, the onset interval between the prepulse stimulus and startling stimulus was 100 ms, which was shorter than the interval of 120 ms at which the attentional-modulation effect on PPI in humans has been well demonstrated [22,69]. However, Filion and Poje reported that even at the inter-stimulus interval of 60 ms PPI in task-based protocol (when the prepulse was attended or ignored) was larger than that in passive, no-task protocol, and they suggested that PPI at the short interval of 60 ms in task-based protocol is a sign of sensorimotor gating enhanced by the initial nonselective allocation of attention to both attended and ignored prepulses [23]. Thus, considering the species difference in brain size, it is possible that the attentional-modulation effect on PPI in rats can also be observed at inter-stimulus onset intervals shorter than 100 ms.

It is well known that schizophrenic patients often suffer from impaired sensory gating that filters out distracting stimuli to ensure useful information processing (for reviews, see [9,27]) and perform worse than normal controls in noise-masking tasks [43]. Particularly, when instructed to selectively attend to the prepulse stimulus, compared to normal controls, schizophrenic patients and schizotypal personality-disordered subjects exhibit not only reduced baseline PPI but also declined attentional modulation of PPI (e.g., [16,17,31,32,33,59]). For example, McDowd et al. [59] examined PPI in both passive and active attentional paradigms within the same schizophrenic patients and found that the patients showed less PPI particularly in the active attention phase. In addition, Dawson et al. [17] reported that in patients with schizophrenia under the condition when the prepulse was attended but not the condition when the prepulse was ignored, impaired prepulse inhibition was significantly correlated with heightened delusions, conceptual disorganization, and suspiciousness as measured with the expanded Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale. They proposed that impaired attentional modulation of PPI reflects basic neurocognitive processes related to thought disorder in schizophrenia. Indeed, some recent studies have confirmed that the PPI deficiency that occurs when the prepulse is attended is associated with the symptom severity in the schizophrenia spectrum (e.g., [33]). Thus, in patients with schizophrenia, the disability to focus on what is important (i.e., attentional deficits) can be reflected by deficient attentional modulation of PPI. As attentional deficits are the key features of schizophrenia, it is convincing that the impaired attentional modulation of PPI is more specifically correlated with the symptom severity of this disorder than impaired baseline PPI. In the present study, we found that attentional enhancements of PPI in isolation-reared rats disappeared. The results not only are in consistency with our previous reports [20,56] but also suggest that isolation-rearing-induced changes in top-down modulations of PPI are useful for modeling schizophrenia. Since isolation rearing in rats also results in various schizophrenic-like cognitive/behavioral abnormalities including spontaneous hyperactivity in open field environments, recognition memory deficits, reduced PPI, deficits in attentional set-shifting performance (impaired inhibitory control in attentional selection), and impaired reversal learning in the rotating T maze (e.g., [1,3,13,26,42,46,55,56,60,70,78,79], for reviews, see [24,83]), it is important to know whether the various isolation-induced behavioral impairments are based on deficits of a general signal-processing organization that is related to the function of associative learning.

Here we discuss four issues that may be related to the causes for the deficiency of the learning-induced top-down-modulating effect on PPI in isolation-reared rats:

(1) In rats, the medial prefrontal cortex participates in the formation of fear conditioning, attentional control, and sends direct axonal projections to the amygdala (for a recent review see [54]). Melendez et al. [61] have found that the capacity of Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR) to elevate extracellular glutamate levels significantly decreases in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of isolation-reared rats compared to rats reared in normal environmental conditions. It has been known that mGluR subtype 5 (mGluR5) are critical for the formation of auditory fear conditioning [21,47,66,71], and particularly, conditioning-induced PPI enhancement can be abolished by systemic administration of the mGluR5 antagonist, 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine (MPEP), in normal rats [56,86].

On the other hand, the amygdala plays a critical role in both forming fear conditioning (e.g., [67]) and modulating PPI (for a recent review see [54]). Although systemic injection of the D2-receptor agonist, quinpirole, decreases fear and impairs the recall of emotional memories [62], chemi-

cal block of dopamine D2 receptors in the amygdala with the D2-receptor antagonist, raclopride, disrupts emotional learning measured with fear-potentiated startle [29]. These reports suggest that D2-receptors in the amygdala participate in fear-conditioning-induced modulation of PPI. Moreover, it has been recently reported that social isolation results in significant neurotransmission abnormalities in the rat's amygdala, including increased dopamine D2 receptor density in both the central nucleus and basolateral nucleus of amygdala [19].

Thus, in isolation-reared rats, the mGluR5- and D2-receptor-involved abnormality in the interaction between the prefrontal cortex and amygdala may bring about abnormal functional integrations between conditioning of the prepulse, memory retrieval of ecological meanings of the CS, and selective attention to the CS, leading to impaired stimulus-specific top-down modulation of sensorimotor gating.

- (2) It has recently reported that in isolation-reared rats, both defensive reaction to aversive stimuli [76] and level of conditioned fear [50] are reduced. However, it is still unclear whether these two reported reductions are due to a weakening of CS-US association or emotional responses to the CS. To clarify whether isolation-rearing affects fear conditioning of a prepulse, it is also critical for future studies to investigate whether emotional responses to the conditioned prepulse is really weakened by isolation rearing, leading to ignorance of the conditioned prepulse.
- (3) Since isolation rearing impairs the inhibitory control in attentional selection [60,70] and the testing paradigm used in the present study requires rat to inhibit the attention-drawing influence of the noise image in order to maintain selective attention to the fear-conditioned prepulse image, isolation-reared rats may not be able to efficiently shift and/or maintain selective attention to the prepulse image. Indeed, isolation rearing results in both structure abnormalities [18,61,64] and neurotransmitter abnormalities (involving serotonin, dopamine, and glutamate) [14,35,42,49,61] in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), which is involved in attention control (e.g., [81]). Thus, isolation-rearing-caused mPFC abnormalities may be associated with the lack of attentional enhancement of PPI. Thus, impaired learning-induced top-down modulation of PPI in isolation-reared rats may be due to isolation-related changes in the weighted distributions of attentional resources to the CS and irrelevant stimuli.
- (4) Finally, it should not be excluded that isolation rearing causes substantial impairments within the neural circuitry mediating PPI, making certain top-down neural inputs ineffective, even though the underlying top-down-modulation mechanisms are presumably intact. In other words, it needs further investigation of whether isolation rearing impairs the primary PPI circuitry, which is located in the brainstem (for a recent review see [54]).

In summary, emotional-learning-induced top-down modulations of PPI is prepulse specific, and the modulation effects are impaired in isolation-reared rats. In the future, the emotional-learning-organized interaction between sensory processing of conditioned prepulses and mnemonic signaling of conditioned prepulses in the formation of top-down modulations of PPI needs further investigation, which is also critical for understanding both psychological and neurobiological mechanisms underlying schizophrenia.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the "973" National Basic Research Program of China (2009CB320901), the National Natural Science

Foundation of China (30670704; 30711120563; 60535030), and “985” grants from Peking University.

References

- [1] Arakawa H. Interaction between isolation rearing and social development on exploratory behavior in male rats. *Behav Processes* 2005;70:223–34.
- [2] Barsz K, Ison JR, Snell KB, Walton JP. Behavioral and neural measures of auditory temporal acuity in aging humans and mice. *Neurobiol Aging* 2002;23:565–78.
- [3] Bianchi M, Fone KFC, Azmi N, Heidbreder CA, Hagan JJ, Marsden CA. Isolation rearing induces recognition memory deficits accompanied by cytoskeletal alterations in rat hippocampus. *Eur J Neurosci* 2006;24:2894–902.
- [4] Blauert J. *Spatial hearing*. Cambridge: MIT Press; 1997.
- [5] Bradley MM, Codispoti M, Lang PJ. A multi-process account of startle modulation during affective perception. *Psychophysiology* 2006;43:486–97.
- [6] Bradley MM, Cuthbert BN, Lang PJ. Pictures as prepulse: attention and emotion in startle modification. *Psychophysiology* 1993;30:541–5.
- [7] Braff DL, Stone C, Callaway E, Geyer MA, Glick I, Bali L. Prestimulus effects on human startle reflex in normal and schizophrenics. *Psychophysiology* 1978;15:339–43.
- [8] Braff DL, Swerdlow NR, Geyer MA. Symptom correlates of prepulse inhibition deficits in male schizophrenic patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999;156:596–602.
- [9] Braff DL, Geyer MA, Swerdlow NR. Human studies of prepulse inhibition of startle: normal subjects, patient groups, and pharmacological studies. *Psychopharmacology* 2001;156:234–8.
- [10] Brown JS, Kalish HI, Farber IE. Conditioned fear as revealed by magnitude of startle response to an auditory stimulus. *J Exp Psychol* 1951;41:317–28.
- [11] Buckland G, Buckland J, Jamieson C, Ison JR. Inhibition of startle response to acoustic stimulation produced by visual prestimulation. *J Comp Physiol Psychol* 1969;67:493–6.
- [12] Carlson S, Willott JF. The behavioral salience of tones as indicated by prepulse inhibition of the startle response: relationship to hearing loss and central neural plasticity in C57BL/6J mice. *Hear Res* 1996;99:168–75.
- [13] Cilia J, Hatcher PD, Reavill C. Long-term evaluation of isolation-rearing induced prepulse inhibition deficits in rats, an update. *Psychopharmacology* 2005;180:57–62.
- [14] Dalley JW, Theobald DE, Pereira EAC, Li PMMC, Robbins TW. Specific abnormalities in serotonin release in the prefrontal cortex of isolation-reared rats measured during behavioural performance of a task assessing visuospatial attention and impulsivity. *Psychopharmacology* 2002;164:329–40.
- [15] Davis M, Schlesinger LS, Sorenson CA. Temporal specificity of fear conditioning—effects of different conditioned-stimulus–unconditioned stimulus intervals on the fear-potentiated startle effect. *J Exp Psychol: Anim Behav* 1989;15:295–310.
- [16] Dawson ME, Hazlett EA, Filion DL, Nuechterlein KH, Schell AM. Attention and schizophrenia: impaired modulation of the startle reflex. *J Abnor Psychol* 1993;102:633–41.
- [17] Dawson ME, Schell AM, Hazlett EA, Nuechterlein KH, Filion DL. On the clinical and cognitive meaning of impaired sensorimotor gating in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res* 2000;96:187–97.
- [18] Day-Wilson KM, Jones DNC, Southam E, Cilia J, Totterdell S. Medial prefrontal cortex volume loss in rats with isolation rearing-induced deficits in prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle. *Neuroscience* 2006;141:1113–21.
- [19] Djouma E, Card K, Lodge DJ, Lawrence AJ. The CRF1 receptor antagonist, antalarmin, reverses isolation-induced up-regulation of dopamine D-2 receptors in the amygdala and nucleus accumbens of Fawn-Hooded rats. *Eur J Neurosci* 2006;23:3319–27.
- [20] Du Y, Li J-Y, Wu X-H, Li L. Precedence-effect-induced enhancement of prepulse inhibition in socially reared but not isolation-reared rats. *Cog Affect Behav Neurosci* 2009;9:44–58.
- [21] Fendt M, Schmid S. Metabotropic glutamate receptors are involved in amygdaloid plasticity. *Eur J Neurosci* 2002;15:1535–41.
- [22] Filion DL, Dawson ME, Schell AM. Modification of the acoustic startle-reflex eyeblink: a tool for investigating early and late attentional processes. *Biol Psychol* 1993;35:185–200.
- [23] Filion DL, Poje AB. Selective and nonselective attention effects on prepulse inhibition of startle: a comparison of task and no-task protocols. *Biol Psychol* 2003;64:283–96.
- [24] Fone KFC, Porkess MV. Behavioural and neurochemical effects of post-weaning social isolation in rodents—relevance to developmental neuropsychiatric disorders. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2008;32:1087–102.
- [25] Freyman RL, Helfer KS, McCall DD, Clifton RK. The role of perceived spatial separation in unmasking of speech. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1999;106:3578–88.
- [26] Geyer MA, Wilkinson LS, Humby T, Robbins TW. Isolation rearing of rats produces a deficit in prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle similar to that in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 1993;34:361–72.
- [27] Geyer MA, Krebs-Thomson K, Braff DL, Swerdlow NR. Pharmacological studies of prepulse inhibition models of sensorimotor gating deficits in schizophrenia, a decade in review. *Psychopharmacology* 2001;156:117–54.
- [28] Graham FK. The more or less startling effects of weak prestimulation. *Psychophysiology* 1975;12:238–48.
- [29] Greba Q, Gifkins A, Kokkinidis L. Inhibition of amygdaloid dopamine D-2 receptors impairs emotional learning measured with fear-potentiated startle. *Brain Res* 2001;899:218–26.
- [30] Grillon C, Davis M. Effects of stress and shock anticipation on prepulse inhibition of the startle reflex. *Psychophysiology* 1997;34:511–7.
- [31] Hazlett EA, Buchsbaum MS, Haznedar MM, Singer MB, Germans MK. Prefrontal cortex glucose metabolism and startle eyeblink modification abnormalities in unmedicated schizophrenia patients. *Psychophysiology* 1998;35:186–98.
- [32] Hazlett EA, Levine J, Buchsbaum MS, Silverman JM, New A, Sevin EM, et al. Deficient attentional modulation of the startle response in patients with schizotypal personality disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003;160:1621–6.
- [33] Hazlett EA, Romero MJ, Haznedar MM, New AS, Goldstein KE, Newmark RE, et al. Deficient attentional modulation of startle eyeblink is associated with symptom severity in the schizophrenia spectrum. *Schiz Res* 2007;93:288–95.
- [34] Heekeren K, Meincke U, Geyer MA, Gouzoulis-Mayfrank E. Attentional modulation of prepulse inhibition: a new startle paradigm. *Neuropsychobiology* 2004;49:88–93.
- [35] Heidbreder CA, Foxton R, Cilia J, Hughes ZA, Shah AJ, Atkins A, et al. Increased responsiveness of dopamine to atypical, but not typical antipsychotics in the medial prefrontal cortex of rats reared in isolation. *Psychopharmacology* 2001;156:338–51.
- [36] Hoeffding V, Harrison JM. Auditory discrimination: role of time and intensity in the precedence effect. *J Exp Anal Behav* 1979;32:157–66.
- [37] Hoffman HS, Ison JR. Reflex modification in the domain of startle. I. Some empirical findings and their implications for how the nervous system processes sensory input. *Psychol Rev* 1980;87:175–89.
- [38] Huang J, Yang Z-G, Ping J-L, Liu X, Wu X-H, Li L. The influence of the perceptual or fear learning on rats' prepulse inhibition induced by changes in the correlation between two spatially separated noise sounds. *Hear Res* 2007;223:1–10.
- [39] Huang Y, Huang Q, Chen X, Qu T-S, Wu X-H, Li L. Perceptual integration between target speech and target-speech reflection reduces masking for target-speech recognition in younger adults and older adults. *Hear Res* 2008;244:51–65.
- [40] Ison JR, Hoffman HS. Reflex modification in the domain of startle: II. The anomalous history of a robust and ubiquitous phenomenon. *Psychol Rev* 1983;94:3–17.
- [41] Ison JR, Agrawal P, Pak J, Vaughn WJ. Changes in temporal acuity with age and with hearing impairment in the mouse: a study of the acoustic startle reflex and its inhibition by brief decrements in noise level. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1998;104:1696–704.
- [42] Jones GH, Marsden CA, Robbins TW. Behavioural rigidity and rule-learning deficits following isolation-rearing in the rat, neurochemical correlates. *Behav Brain Res* 1991;43:35–50.
- [43] Kallstrand J, Montmery P, Nielzn S, Olsson O. Auditory masking experiments in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res* 2002;113:115–25.
- [44] Kelly JB. Localization of paired sound sources in the rat: small time difference. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1974;55:1277–84.
- [45] Kumari V, Soni W, Mathew VM, Sharma T. Prepulse inhibition of the startle response in men with schizophrenia, effects of age of onset of illness, symptoms, and medication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2000;57:609–14.
- [46] Lapiz MDS, Mateo Y, Parker T. Effects of noradrenaline depletion in the brain on response to novelty in isolation-reared rats. *Psychopharmacology* 2000;152:312–20.
- [47] Lee OK, Lee CJ, Choi S. Induction mechanisms for L-LTP at thalamic input synapses to the lateral amygdala, requirement of mGluR5 activation. *Neuroreport* 2002;13:685–91.
- [48] Leitner DS, Gärten EM. Dopamine receptor agonists alter gap prestimulus modulation. *Psychopharmacology* 1997;134:213–20.
- [49] Leng A, Feldon J, Ferger B. Long-term social isolation and medial prefrontal cortex: dopaminergic and cholinergic neurotransmission. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2004;77:371–9.
- [50] Li C-Y, Zhang B, Li Z-B, Huang F-L, Tian S-W, Hu X-T. Effects of abnormal early rearing environments on fear memory in adult rats. *Zool Res* 2009;30:31–7.
- [51] Li L, Yue Q. Auditory gating processes and binaural inhibition in the inferior colliculus. *Hear Res* 2002;168:113–24.
- [52] Li L, Daneman M, Qi JG, Schneider BA. Does the information content of an irrelevant source differentially affect speech recognition in younger and older adults? *J Exp Psychol: Hum Percept Perform* 2004;30:1077–91.
- [53] Li L, Qi JG, He Y, Alain C, Schneider B. Attribute capture in the precedence effect for long-duration noise sounds. *Hear Res* 2005;202:235–47.
- [54] Li L, Du Y, Li N-X, Wu X-H, Wu Y-H. Top-down modulation of prepulse inhibition of the startle reflex in humans and rats. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2009;33:1157–67.
- [55] Li N-X, Wu X-H, Li L. Chronic administration of clozapine alleviates reversal-learning impairment in isolation-reared rats. *Behav Pharmacol* 2007;18:135–45.
- [56] Li N-X, Ping J-L, Wu R-B, Wang C, Wu X-H, Li L. Auditory fear conditioning modulates prepulse inhibition in socially-reared rats and isolation-reared rats. *Behav Neurosci* 2008;122:107–18.
- [57] Li W, Howard JD, Parrish TB, Gottfried JA. Aversive learning enhances perceptual and cortical discrimination of indiscriminable odor cues. *Science* 2008;319:1842–5.
- [58] Litovsky RY, Colburn HS, Yost WA, Guzman SJ. The precedence effect. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1999;106:1633–54.
- [59] McDowd JM, Filion DL, Harris MJ, Braff DL. Sensory gating and inhibitory function in late-life schizophrenia. *Schiz Bull* 1993;19:733–46.
- [60] McLean SL, Grayson B, Harris M, Protheroe C, Bate S, Woolley ML, et al. Isolation rearing impairs novel object recognition and attentional set shifting performance in female rats. *J Psychopharmacol*; in press.

- [61] Melendez RI, Gregory ML, Bardo MT, Kalivas PW. Impoverished rearing environment alters metabotropic glutamate receptor expression and function in the prefrontal cortex. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2004;29:1980–7.
- [62] Nader K, LeDoux J. The dopaminergic modulation of fear: quinpirole impairs the recall of emotional memories in rats. *Behav Neurosci* 1999;113:152–65.
- [63] Pickney LA. Inhibition of the startle in the rat by prior tactile stimulation. *An Learn Behav* 1976;4:467–72.
- [64] Preece MA, Dalley JW, Theobald DH. Region specific changes in forebrain 5-hydroxytryptamine(1A) and 5-hydroxytryptamine(2A) receptors in isolation-reared rats, an in vitro autoradiography study. *Neuroscience* 2004;123:725–32.
- [65] Rakerd B, Aaronson NL, Hartmann WM. Release from speech-on-speech masking by adding a delayed masker at a different location. *J Acoust Soc Am* 2006;119:1597–605.
- [66] Rodrigues SM, Bauer EP, Farb CR, Schafe GE, LeDoux JE. The group I metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 is required for fear memory formation and long-term potentiation in the lateral amygdala. *J Neurosci* 2002;22:5219–29.
- [67] Romanski LM, LeDoux JE. Equipotentiality of thalamoamygdala and thalamocorticoamygdala circuits in auditory fear conditioning. *J Neurosci* 1992;12:4501–9.
- [68] Röska M, Koch M. Enhanced prepulse inhibition of startle using salient prepulses in rats. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2006;60:10–4.
- [69] Schell AM, Wynn JK, Dawson ME, Sinaii N, Niebala CB. Automatic and controlled attentional processes in startle eyeblink modification: effects of habituation of the prepulse. *Psychophysiology* 2000;37:409–17.
- [70] Schrijver NC, Würbel H. Early social deprivation disrupts attentional, but not affective, shifts in rats. *Behav Neurosci* 2001;115:437–42.
- [71] Schulz B, Fendt M, Gasparini F, Lingenhohl K, Kuhn R, Koch M. The metabotropic glutamate receptor antagonist 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine (MPEP) blocks fear conditioning in rats. *Neuropharmacology* 2001;41:1–7.
- [72] Sikes RW, Vogt BA. Nociceptive neurons in area 24 of rabbit cingulate cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 1992;68:1720–32.
- [73] Swerdlow NR, Keith VA, Braff DL, Geyer MA. Effects of spiperone, raclopride, SCH-23390 and clozapine on apomorphine inhibition of sensorimotor gating of the startle response in the rat. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1991;256:530–6.
- [74] Swerdlow NR, Light GA, Cadenhead KS, Sprock J, Hsieh MH, Braff DL. Startle gating deficits in a large cohort of patients with schizophrenia: Relationship to medications, symptoms, neurocognition, and level of function. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2006;63:1325–35.
- [75] Thorne GL, Dawson ME, Schell AM. Attention and prepulse inhibition: the effects of task-relevant, irrelevant, and no-task conditions. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2005;56:121–8.
- [76] Tomazini FM, Reimer A, Albrechet-Souza L, Brandão ML. Opposite effects of short- and long-duration isolation on ultrasonic vocalization, startle and prepulse inhibition in rats. *J Neurosci Methods* 2006;153:114–20.
- [77] Turner JG, Brozoski TJ, Bauer CA, Parrish JL, Myers K. Gap detection deficits in rats with tinnitus: a potential novel screening tool. *Behav Neurosci* 2006;120:188–95.
- [78] van den Buuse M, Garner B, Koch M. Neurodevelopmental animal models of schizophrenia, effects on prepulse inhibition. *Cur Mol Med* 2003;3:459–71.
- [79] Varty GB, Geyer MA. Effects of isolation rearing on startle reactivity, habituation, and prepulse inhibition in male Lewis, Sprague–Dawley, and Fischer F344 rats. *Behav Neurosci* 1998;112:1450–7.
- [80] Villanueva L, Bing Z, Bouhassira D, Le Bars D. Encoding of electrical, thermal, and mechanical noxious stimuli by subnucleus reticularis dorsalis neurons in the rat medulla. *J Neurophysiol* 1989;61:391–402.
- [81] Wall PM, Messier C. The hippocampal formation—orbitomedial prefrontal cortex circuit in the attentional control of active memory. *Behav Brain Res* 2001;127:99–117.
- [82] Wallach H, Newman EB, Rosenzweig MR. The precedence effect in sound localization. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1949;62:315–36.
- [83] Weiss IC, Feldon J. Environmental animal models for sensorimotor gating deficiencies in schizophrenia: a review. *Psychopharmacology* 2001;156:305–26.
- [84] Wu X-H, Wang C, Chen J, Qu H-W, Li W-R, Wu Y-H, et al. The effect of perceived spatial separation on informational masking of Chinese speech. *Hear Res* 2005;199:1–10.
- [85] Young JS, Fechter LD. Reflex inhibition procedures for animal audiometry: a technique for assessing ototoxicity. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1983;73:1686–93.
- [86] Zou D, Huang J, Wu X-H, Li L. Metabotropic glutamate subtype 5 receptors modulate fear-conditioning induced enhancement of prepulse inhibition in rats. *Neuropharmacology* 2007;52:476–86.